



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/483,745	09/483,745 01/17/2000		Loredana Abramo	Abramo-1	8778	
48116	7590	11/28/2006		EXAMINER		
FAY SHAI		NT	HUYNH, CO	HUYNH, CONG LAC T		
1100 SUPER SEVENTH I			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
CLEVELAN	ND, OH 44	114	2178			
				DATE MAILED: 11/28/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applic	ation No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary			3,745	ABRAMO, LOREI	ABRAMO, LOREDANA				
			ner	Art Unit					
	·	Cong-l	₋ac Huynh	2178					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commur r Reply	ication appears on	the cover sheet with the	correspondence ad	idress				
A SHO WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failui Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M Isions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum size to reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AAILING DATE OF s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In n nunication. atutory period will apply ar v will, by statute, cause the	THIS COMMUNICATIO be event, however, may a reply be tind will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this c ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
2a) <u></u> ☐	Responsive to communication(s) file This action is <b>FINAL</b> . Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the pract	2b)⊠ This action in for allowance exc	s non-final. ept for formal matters, pr		e merits is				
Dispositi	on of Claims								
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ <b>Applicati</b> 9)□	Claim(s) 1-12 and 15-22 is/are pend 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-12, 15-22 is/are rejected Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restrict on Papers  The specification is objected to by the transport may not request that any objected to the specificant may not request that any objected to be set to be a set of the specificant may not request that any objected to be set of the specificant may not request that any objected to be set of the specificant may not request that any objected to be set of the specificant may not request that any objected to be set of the specificant may not request that any objected to be set of the specificant may not request that any objected to be set of the specificant may not request that any objected to be set of the specificant may not request that any objected to be set of the specificant may not request that any objected to be set of the specificant may not request the	tre withdrawn from  ction and/or election  e Examiner.  a) □ accepted of	consideration.  In requirement.  T b)□ objected to by the		,				
	Applicant may not request that any objection and description of the second description of the se				:FR 1 121(d)				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119			•					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>									
2) Notic 3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Ination Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	PTO-948)	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal ( 6) Other:	oate					

Application/Control Number: 09/483,745 Page 2

Art Unit: 2178

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This action is responsive to communications: amendment filed 8/30/06 to the application filed on 1/17/00.

- 2. Claim 23 is added.
- 3. Claims 1-12, 15-23 are pending in the case. Claims 1, 7, 15, and 19 are independent claims.
- 4. This action is made final since the objections of claims 4-6, and 16 have been withdrawn in view of the reference Gupta et al. (US 6,226,516).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1, 7-8, 10, 12, 15, 18-20, 22 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McDuff et al. (US Pat App Pub No. 2001/0012356, 8/9/01, filed 9/30/97) in view of Probert, Jr. et al. (US Pat No. 6,549,918 B1, 4/15/03, filed 9/21/98).

Regarding independent claim 1, Mcduff discloses:

 receiving raw switch data from a digital switching system, the raw switch data is stored by the digital switching system in a switch database ([0007], [0033]: receiving raw data from switching mechanism included in the telecommunication system and generating events about calling activity based on the raw data implies that the telecommunication system includes the digital switching system with a switch database for storing the received raw switch data to be processed)

- storing the call information which is the raw cellular information in a table ([0083]- [0086])

### Mcduff does not disclose:

- converting said raw data into a format compatible with a predefined spreadsheet
   program
- outputting converted data to and storing said converted data in at least one
   predefined workbook of said spreadsheet program

#### Probert discloses:

converting said raw data into a format compatible with a predefined spreadsheet program (figure 2 and col 8, lines 17-59: the network system includes the dynamic conversion filter driver to convert data from one format to another, including providing data in spreadsheet format where the data to be converted is from the server)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to have combined Probert into Mcduff since Probert discloses the benefit of converting data in one format to the spreadsheet format applied in the network system, providing the advantage to incorporate into Mcduff for converting the raw data stored in the switch database the table format in Mcduff into the spreadsheet format for easily performing calculations relating to business data.

Mcduff and Probert do not disclose outputting converted data to and storing said converted data in at least one predefined workbook of said spreadsheet program. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to have modified Mcduff and Probert to include said outputting and storing features since it was well known in the art that once the data is converted into a format such as spreadsheet, the data is displayed at the client, which is a form of outputting data, and the data is stored in the memory for later use. The combination of the outputting and storing features to Mcduff and Probert would help providing and checking data when needed and keeping the received data for later use.

Page 4

Independent claim 7 is for an apparatus of method claim 1, and is rejected under the same rationale.

Regarding claim 8, which is dependent on claim 7, Mcduff and Probert do not explicitly disclose the operation of said data receiver, data converter and data output device are adapted to be triggered via a user's "Make Workbook" command. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to have modified Probert to include the user's Make Workbook command since it was well known that spreadsheet has the command such as AutoFormat for formatting a workbook, which is a form of the Make Workbook command.

Regarding claim 10, which is dependent on claim 1, Mcduff further discloses that the raw switch data includes recent change and verify data ([0067], [0071]).

Page 5

Claim 12 is for an apparatus of method claim 10, and is rejected under the same rationale.

Regarding independent claim 15, Mcduff discloses:

- receiving raw switch data from a digital switch ([007], [0033], [0039])
- storing the raw switch data, which is the call information, in a table ([0082]- [0086])

Mcduff does not disclose:

- converting said raw data into a format compatible with a predefined spreadsheet
   program
- outputting converted data to and storing said converted data in at least one
   predefined workbook of said spreadsheet program

## Probert discloses:

- converting said raw data into a format compatible with a predefined spreadsheet program (figure 2 and col 8, lines 17-59: the network system includes the dynamic conversion filter driver to convert data from one format to another, including providing data in spreadsheet format where the data to be converted is from the server)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to have combined Probert into Mcduff since Probert discloses the benefit of converting data in one format to the spreadsheet format applied in the network system, providing the advantage to incorporate into Mcduff for converting the raw data stored in the switch database the table format in Mcduff into the spreadsheet format.

Mcduff and Probert do not disclose outputting converted data to and storing said converted data in at least one predefined workbook of said spreadsheet program.

However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to have modified Mcduff and Probert to include said outputting and storing features since it was well known in the art that once the data is converted into a format such as spreadsheet, the data is displayed at the client, which is a form of outputting data, and the data is stored in the memory for later use. The combination of the outputting and storing features to Mcduff and Probert would help providing and checking data when needed and keeping the received data for later use.

Regarding claim 18, which is dependent on claim 15, Mcduff further discloses that the raw switch data includes recent change and verify data ([0067], [0071]).

Claims 19 and 22 are for an apparatus of method claims 15 and 18, and are rejected under the same rationale.

Claim 20 includes the same limitation of apparatus claim 8, and is rejected under the same rationale.

7. Claims 2-3, 9, 11, 17, 21 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mcduff in view of Probert as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Boyle et al. (US Pat No. 5,661,789, 8/26/97).

Regarding claim 2, which is dependent on claim 1, Mcduff discloses:

 prior to said receiving, converting and outputting steps, installing said digital switch (0033], [0039]: the fact that the call center includes switching mechanism for switching the call data implies that a digital switch is installed in the system for performing the switching function)

Mcduff and Probert do not disclose:

performing said receiving, converting and outputting steps as part of a New
 Product Introduction test

Boyle discloses a new product testing is performed at a digital switch where raw data is received (col 1, line 60 to col 2, line 39).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to have combined Boyle into Mcduff and Probert since performing new product testing at the digital switch in Boyle would provide the advantage to include in Mcduff and Probert for using various data including product testing data at the digital switch for various service purposes.

Regarding claim 3, which is dependent on claim 1, Mcduff discloses:

 prior to said receiving, converting and outputting steps, installing said digital switch ([0033], [0039]: the fact that the call center includes switching mechanism for switching the call data implies that a digital switch is installed in the system for performing the switching function)

Mcduff does not disclose:

performing said receiving, converting and outputting steps as part of a Customer
 Acceptance test

Boyle discloses:

- performing said receiving, converting and outputting steps as part of a Customer Acceptance test (col 1, lines 55-60, col 2, lines 40-57)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to have combined Boyle into Mcduff and Probert since performing customer acceptance test at the digital switch in Boyle would provide the advantage to include in Mcduff and Probert for using various data including product testing data at the digital switch for various service purposes.

Regarding claim 9, which is dependent on claim 1, Mcduff does not disclose that the switch data includes testing data, which is one of hardware change data, software change data, switching activity data, *testing data*, troubleshooting data, and new product installation data.

Boyle discloses a new product testing is performed at a digital switch where raw data is received (col 1, line 60 to col 2, line 39).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to have combined Boyle into Mcduff and Probert since performing new product testing at the digital switch in Boyle would provide the advantage to include in Mcduff and Probert for using various data including product testing data at the digital switch for various service purposes.

Claim 11, which is dependent on claim 7, include the same limitations as in claim 9, and is rejected under the same rationale.

Claim 17 includes the same limitations as in claim 9, and is rejected under the same rationale.

Claim 21 is for an apparatus of method claim 9, and is rejected under the same rationale.

8. Claims 4-6, 16 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mcduff in view of Probert as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Gupta et al. (US 6,226,516, 5/1/01, filed 3/30/98).

Application/Control Number: 09/483,745 Page 10

Art Unit: 2178

Regarding claim 4, which is dependent on claim 1, Mcduff and Probert does not disclose:

using the output of said converter as a layout, preparing scripts containing
 Database Modification Commands

- transferring said scripts to said digital switching system
- via said digital switching system, executing said scripts to modify the switch database associated with the raw switch data

# Gupta discloses:

- using the data at the switch center, preparing scripts containing Database
   Modification Commands (col 1, line 58 to col 2, line 19: having scripts for modifying data at switch center implies that the scripts contain Database
   Modification Commands)
- transferring said scripts to said digital switching system (col 2, lines 7-19:
   downloading the scripts to the central office for processing switch data shows
   that scripts are transferred to the switching system)
- via said digital switching system, executing said scripts to modify the switch database associated with the raw switch data (col 1, line 58 to col 2, line 67)

Therefore, it would have been obvious to an ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to have modified Gupta to include data at the switch center to be converted into spreadsheet format and combined Gupta into Mcduff and Probert for modifying switch data at the switching system via executing scripts.

Claims 5-6, 16 and 23 include the same limitations as in claim 4, and are rejected under the same rationale.

# Response to Arguments

9. Applicants arguments filed 8/30/06 have been considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants argue that McDuff does not disclose a telecommunication network switch. It is not true. McDuff discloses that feature ([0007], [0033]: switch data at a telecommunication network, so it is telecommunication network switch).

Applicants argue that though McDuff uses the phrase "raw data", the raw data of McDuff is regarding calls and not regarding configuration of a telecommunication network switch. Examiner respectfully disagrees. The raw data of McDuff is raw data at the switching system, and so it is the "raw switch data" as recited in claim 1.

Applicants argue that Probert does not disclose or suggest receiving raw switch data from a digital switch system.

Examiner agrees. However, McDuff, in combination with Probert, discloses that feature.

#### Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Gupta et al. (US 6,226,516, 05-2001).

Application/Control Number: 09/483,745 Page 12

Art Unit: 2178

Heninger et al. (US 6,470,349, 10-2002).

Sudoh et al. (US 2006/0053468, 03-2006).

Hurst (US 7,099,664, 08-2006).

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Cong-Lac Huynh whose telephone number is 571-272-

4125. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri (8:30-6:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Stephen Hong can be reached on 571-272-4124. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-4125.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Cong-Lac Huynh
Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2178

11/16/06